ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER

Published Semi-Monthly by

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

Empire State Bldg., New York 1, N. Y.
903 First National Bank Bldg., Utica 2, N. Y.
606 National Union Bldg., Washington 4, D. C.

Council Letter No. 228
December 1, 1949

Atom Bombs Away!

In Letter 226 we spoke of the thrill Americans felt when twelve men and women found eleven Communist leaders guilty of conspiring to overthrow the Republic by force and violence.

It showed what the people—as compared with some vote-seeking politicians—think of Communism, and what they are willing to do about it. If they felt any doubt, they gave their country the benefit of it.

Judge Medina, before whom the case was tried, refused to release the convicted men on bail, pending appeal. Probably he remembered that Gerhard Eisler fled the country while his appeal was pending—and is now in the cabinet of the Communist Government of East Germany; that the Communist-influenced British Labor Government, to whom we are giving a billion a year, refused to hold him and turn him over to us; and, that American Communists, having access to all they need of the wealth of Soviet Russia, could well afford to make good the $23,500 bail Eisler jumped.

But on appeal, three Judges in the U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals reversed Judge Medina. They released the Reds on $260,000 of bail for the lot. Of course, to the Communists this sum is small. To Communist Russia, it would be worth many times that sum to have these traitors to America make their escape and become available for activity elsewhere. And should their appeal finally be lost, we would be surprised if any of them were found within the jurisdiction of the court that sentenced them.

The Circuit Judges may have been *technically* right, yet there could be no better evidence that American officialdom has not yet sensed that Communism threatens all Americans with permanent slavery, than the willingness of these supposedly enlightened Judges to turn these criminals loose to continue their treason.

They gave these Communists the benefit of any doubt.

Time for Full Knowledge

The American Administration, as well indeed as these Judges, clearly seem to be allowing Soviet Russia to proceed, practically without let or hindrance, in her plans for the subjugation of the entire world.

In November, 1948, it was known by private authorities that Soviet Russia expected to make its first atom bomb by June of 1949. These same authorities knew in June, 1949, that Soviet Russia had completed and successfully detonated a bomb.

This information was brought to the attention of President Truman and Prime Minister Atlee. Both refused to believe it. They believed it only when enough scientific information had been gathered by seismic apparatus commonly used to detect earthquakes. It was not till September 23rd that Mr. Truman made his rather guarded statement that Russia had set off an atom bomb.

Soviet Russia's plans for subjugating the world by not later than 1952 or 1953 are known to certain authorities. We have questioned them, and are satisfied of the likelihood of their accuracy.

All the military plans of the United States Government and Britain for meeting Russia have been based on the assumption that Russia would not have the atom bomb until 1957. Yet *today* Russia is making four atom bombs a month. This production will be stepped up considerably in the near future. Soon she will have a substantial stock-pile.

Some of the scientific information that has enabled Russia to achieve the atom bomb so far in advance of American expectations, may have leaked through those German scientists that have been taken over and are now being used by Soviet Russia. Some may have been obtained by Russian spies. *But Russia could not have made the progress she has made if traitorous American officials had not passed it out to Soviet agents. And as yet not one of those officials guilty of this treason has even been apprehended.*

All of Soviet Russia's war plans are proceeding according to her plan. She has secured an atom bomb base in Central America. She has plans looking to the seizing of Turkey, Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and other countries in the Near and Far East—countries in

which, if the United States is successfully to stave off atomic attack by Soviet Russia, and be able to reach by her own atom bombs the vital places in Soviet Russia, it will be absolutely necessary for the United States to have bases.

It is estimated that Turkey could be invaded and subjugated by four airborne divisions. Yet Soviet Russia is well along in the development of her plan for a total of *100 airborne divisions.*

Soviet Russia has plans for a total of *600 fighting divisions* of ground troops.

She has a vast fleet of submarines, each capable of launching atom bombs on the world. And she has by all odds the finest Arctic fleet of planes in the world. This at a time, incidentally, when the United States is woefully backward in plans to defend Alaska, or, indeed, her own continental territory and Canada from a plane attack across the North Pole.

Much of the information contained in the last nine paragraphs comes to us from an Englishman, Mr. Kenneth de Courcy, editor of the widely known *Intelligence Digest*. We have known Mr. de Courcy for some years, and have a high opinion of his knowledge and integrity.

Of course it may be that Soviet Russia will never actually start an atomic war. But it can be said with a large measure of certainty that she plans, by 1952 or 1953, to have bases, or submarines, from which an atom bomb missile can be directed at every vital point in the United States, in Britain, and doubtless in other Western countries. Then Soviet Russia will point a gun at the Western nations and make known her terms.

We do not, however, rely only upon Russia's past record. There is much more important evidence. First-class intelligence sources have brought in documentary and near-documentary evidence of Russian General Staff plans which leave us in no shadow of doubt as to Soviet intentions.

A couple of years ago one of the popular magazines published a fanciful article, telling of a call at some future time by the Soviet Ambassador on the President of the United States. The Ambassador calmly announces that a score or more atom bombs have been brought into the country and carefully placed at some of the most vital points in the country, and tells the President that these will be detonated by remote control unless, within an hour, the Government of the United States hands over the United States to Soviet Russia, to do with as she pleases.

Then the writer asks the reader the question—"What would you do?"

The implication is that there would be a case for surrendering the liberties and possessions of 150 million Americans to the tender mercies of Soviet Russia!

And this very alternative will be placed before America within the next four years—unless America wakes up and moves fast.

Washington Has Played Russia's Game

For 16 years the American Administration has played Russia's game. It is playing it today, while Russia is arming to take America over.

All this would be obvious to more Americans if propaganda had not told them that foreign policy was a matter for experts. Few American newspapers have told the real story, or the whole story, of the tragedy that is being enacted. The misnamed and mischievous "Bipartisan Foreign Policy" is but one of the devices inspired by the men playing with Moscow.

It is a fact that, aside from the National Economic Council, there is hardly a nation-wide organization in the United States, that, if it concerns itself with foreign policy at all, is not, consciously or unconsciously, furthering Communist purposes.

Thus the Foreign Policy Association, under the leadership of Russian born Vera Michaelis Dean, almost always slants its attitudes towards interpretations and trends favorable to Moscow. There is no foreign policy association that holds America's interests first.

The League of Women Voters, under the leadership of Anna Lord Strauss, is invariably found in favor of New Deal Socialist measures, which, if not inspired by Soviet Russia, are in general pushed by the same forces. There is no league of women voters that considers America first.

As indicating that many members of the League are deceived by its alleged purposes, a pamphlet entitled "Packaged Thinking for Women" by Lucile Carden Crain published a year ago laid bare some of the activities of the League of Women Voters; and shortly afterwards the Allegheny County (Pa.) Chapter of the League of Women Voters withdrew from the parent organization.

The General Federation of Women's Clubs, claiming over 5,000,000 members in 17,000 clubs (and 3,000,000 associate members "abroad"—whatever that means) is another lobbying organization, though it is not registered as such. Robert Froman, writing in the magazine *This Week* for August 21, 1949, said with reference to the Federation's campaign to put the Marshall Plan proposal through Congress:

> "Soon hundreds of Congressmen found themselves wading neck deep in great drifts of perfumed notes, exhortatory telegrams and lengthy petitions from their feminine constituents. The message from each: Vote for the Marshall Plan—or else. When they did and the plan became the multi-billion dollar European Recovery Program, observers gave a large share of the credit to the Federation's campaign."

Mrs. J. L. Buck, President of the Federation is acting on her statement that "If we want peace we simply have got to take an international point of view." She of course ignores the fact that until the American Government adopted the "international point of view," we had uninterrupted peace. Whether she knows it or

not she seems clearly to have become a tool of the International Marxists. And she is presuming to speak for 5,000,000 women, not 5 per cent of whom really know what's going on.

The Federal Council of Churches, maintaining a powerful lobby in Washington, is rarely in opposition to socialist measures that lead to Communism. John T. Flynn, in his latest book, "The Road Ahead" has made this clear. But, fortunately, there is in this field the American Council of Christian Churches, an organization which places America first.

Let's remember the commissioning of known Communists in both U. S. Army and Navy, while many opposed to Communism were refused commissions. Let's remember the betrayal by Roosevelt of China and Poland and all the countries east of the Iron Curtain; the policy of "unconditional surrender," the Nuremberg and Dachau trials, the "dismantling" of plants in Germany.

See What Washington Is Doing Today

And then let's go on and take a look at what the Administration is doing today.

1. It is giving a billion a year of American savings to the Socialist Labor Government of Britain, which derives no little support from British Communists.

2. Partly because of Stalin's influence, partly because it does not want to offend British and French Socialists, the Administration continues to refuse to return an ambassador to Spain. America did recognize the Franco Government of Spain—on April 1, 1939. Roosevelt's Ambassador to Spain, Dr. Hayes, in his book "Wartime Mission In Spain," records the great help rendered the Allies by the Franco Government. Churchill paid his tribute likewise, in Parliament on May 24, 1944. Roosevelt sent Franco a letter in the Fall of 1942 telling him, in a brotherly way, that Spain had nothing to fear from the united nations. Modern Spain has never interfered in the affairs of other nations. She has never defaulted on a foreign debt. Every vital interest of America demands that we resume the closest relations with Spain. Yet we do not, because Marxists in the Washington Administration will not permit it. Soviet Russia, which insults us at every turn, as in the Ward case, is still dictating American policy on Spain.

Spain is the only country in the world that has defeated Soviet Russia in war. Besides, Stalin wants Spain for a base for atomic operations—and will get it, if the American attitude is not changed in time. And Spain can hold out for perhaps a year more.

3. The Administration has permitted dismantling of German war plants to continue—again at the instance of the Labor Government of British and French Socialists. True, an agreement signed November 24, 1949, between Adenauer, head of the West German Republic, and the three High Commissioners of the United States, France and Britain, provides, in effect, that dismantling shall cease. But it is largely meaningless, because most of the important dismantling has already taken place. We warned in Letter 223 (September 15) from Frankfurt that nine vital plants, recommended by the Humphrey Committee (of American industrialists) *not* to be dismantled, would be completely dismantled in a brief time. Indeed trustworthy Germans believed orders had been received from British and American sources *to speed up dismantling,* before a change of policy took place. Now that the change has taken place the mischief has largely been done.

The new Eastern German Republic is making a strong bid to Western Germany to join her in a united Germany—and it is by no means settled that she won't succeed.

Here, as in every other foreign field, we are following not American interests, but the ambitions of socialist politicians of other countries. And we American citizens are putting up the money!

4. The Administration is preparing to push through Congress ratification of the International Trade Organization (ITO) agreement and the Right to Organize Agreement of the International Labor Organization (ILO). The former would remove the control of both foreign and domestic trade from the Congress and place it under an international committee in which the U. S. would have but a single vote. The latter would remove the control of all relations between employers and employees from the Congress and place them under an international committee in which the U. S. would likewise have but a single vote.

Ratification of these agreements would alone constitute an abdication of a large part of all the power of the Congress of the United States. They would make more likely the speedy abdication of *all* the power of the Congress.

5. Lastly, in the foreign field, we persist in the idealistic but cockeyed idea that we Americans should build up the power of the United Nations; although the head of U.N., Mr. Lie, a former radical Norwegian labor leader, was nominated by Soviet Russia; and although U.N., allegedly designed to prevent war, actually stirred up the Palestine war, at the instance of the Zionists or Communists, or both.

By "winning" the headquarters of U.N., for the United States, we have set up on Manhattan Island what is at best a useless debating society, but which will far more likely prove to be one of the best tools of the plotting Communists in Moscow.

Perhaps the worst folly of all is the persistence of the Administration in seeking to attain any kind of an agreement with Soviet Russia respecting the atom bomb—as though there were the slightest reason to believe Soviet Russia would observe *any* agreement one moment longer than served her own purposes.

Beyond all these things the Administration is taking steps to extend huge aid (Marshall Plan style) to Southeast Asia, regardless of the fact that with continuance of present American policy, all Southeast Asia will be seized by Soviet Russia.

Then the Administration, under so-called Point Four of Truman's socialistic program of last January, proposes appropriations for a kind of TVA in Palestine. Much could be said on this—chiefly that it is entirely likely (assuming the present Administration plans continue) that Palestine will become an important part of the Soviet Union. This would mean still more gifts to Soviet Russia—gifts financed by further increasing our own public debt. We would further deplete our own natural resources in order to develop the natural resources of Israel—a country closely connected with the plan for world domination.

And Consider the Domestic Field

In the domestic field Mr. Truman, regardless of the effect on the solvency of the United States and on the continued independence of citizens, is pushing such measures as Federal Aid to Education, Socialized Medicine, the FEPC, the Brannan Plan to regiment agriculture, additional TVA's, the repeal of the Taft-Hartley Law and other measures.

Two Dangers

So the American people, after a century and a half of life under the most successful form of self-Government ever devised, is threatened with two dangers, one without and one within.

The danger from without is that Soviet Russia, now commanding the manpower of nearly a billion human beings and the brainpower of many German scientists, is calmly and deliberately taking steps so that by 1952 or 1953 she can dictate terms to the rest of the world. And *so far* the Administration, constantly under the advice and counsel of internationalists, and in violation of every American vital interest, acts as if unaware that anything is going on; and is taking no adequate steps to meet it.

And on the domestic side, at the instance of these same internationalists, our economy is being pushed into a kind and degree of Socialism that will mean financial and moral bankruptcy to the American people.

It would be hard to say which of these two dangers is greater. Either one could lead the American people into slavery. The two roads lead to the same destination. Doubtless the same influences are responsible for both.

Either the military triumph of Russia over the United States, or the complete socialization of the United States would mean the destruction of Christianity or the driving of it underground to such an extent that God alone knows when it would emerge as a free religion.

Palestine, mentioned above, by connivance and plotting of the "United Nations," has been invaded by Zionist forces, through funds contributed by American Zionists and other Americans intimidated into giving. Several hundred thousand Arabs have been ruthlessly driven out of homes they and their ancestors have occupied for 700 years, and many are today dying of starvation and exposure.

We have talked with Mr. Yusif El-Bandak, son of the Mayor of Bethlehem. He tells us 130,000 Christians (many of them descendants of the First Crusaders), in and about Bethlehem, birthplace of Jesus Christ, have so far resisted four Zionist attacks, but that they cannot hold out indefinitely. He says that if this community falls, Christianity will be blotted out in the Holy Land.

Meanwhile the United Jewish Appeal, which has financed much of the Zionist invasion, announces it will seek 250 millions in the United States in 1950. There is little doubt some of this would be used to help wipe out what remains of Christianity in Palestine.

American Christians, who are solicited to contribute, may well remember this.

Let them remember, too, that many prominent Communists and communist sympathizers, are also Zionists.

And let them remember that if Christian America is to resist Soviet Russia's preparations for war, they must preserve the religion of Jesus Christ in America.

Merwin K. Hart

President,

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

Send subscriptions to New York Office

Subscription price of Council Letter, issued semi-monthly; Action Report and Council Papers, issued occasionally; together with Council Review of Books, edited by Rose Wilder Lane and issued monthly:

12 months	$10.00
6 months	6.00
3 months	3.50
Airmail—Domestic	11.44
Airmail—Western Europe	18.00

Other countries—Rates on request

Special rate for student or teacher, $5.00 a year.

Extra copies this Letter:

1 to 10	10c each
11 to 99	7c each
*100	$6.00
*500	$5.00 per 100
*1000	$4.00 per 100

Special prices for larger quantities on request

Please add 2 per cent sales tax for deliveries in Greater New York.

* Plus 3% shipping charges.

December 1, 1949.

For The Information of All Americans

The National Economic Council holds many meetings in the course of a year.

Walter Winchell, the little rasping-voiced, key-hole "commentator" hired by the Kaiser-Frazer Company, delivered himself of the following in his radio broadcast on Sunday evening, November 27th:

> "New York: Here are the big names in a so-called select group of 27 Americans invited to Merwin K. Hart's very secret meeting at 4:00 p.m. tomorrow in his Empire State Building offices—if these men go they are dupes. . . . [name deleted]
>
> "Hart is the pro-Franco propagandist. He runs some sort of an economic council, as he calls it. The secret speaker will be, as of now, Jusseb Bandack (?), a visiting Arab. This Arab once worked with Adolph Hitler's stooge in the Near East, the Grand Mufti. Somebody ought to expose this thing, so I just did."

This meeting was called to hear Mr. Yusif El-Bandak of Bethlehem, Palestine, tell about the struggle of a hundred and thirty thousand Christians of Bethlehem and vicinity who have so far resisted the ruthless efforts of the Zionists to drive them out of the country which they and their ancestors have occupied for 700 years. The meeting was not secret—there is no reason yet to keep a pro-Christian meeting secret. And the meeting was of course held as planned.

The Roman Catholic Hierarchy, in late November 1949, issued a strong statement on behalf of these Christians. The Protestant Episcopal Church, at its General Convention in San Francisco in October 1949, passed a resolution in favor of the position Mr. Bandak takes.

We have inquired into Mr. Bandak's background, and his standing is of the highest.

We believe Winchell's statement that Mr. Bandak "once worked with Adolph Hitler's stooge in the Near East, the Grand Mufti," is false. In fact, we are reliably informed that from 1943 to 1946, Mr. Bandak was the official political commentator of the British Broadcasting Company for the Arab world to counteract Nazi and Fascist propaganda to the Arabs.

It is a fact that if the Christians in and about Bethlehem are driven out, Christianity will perish in the Holy Land.

Clearly that is what Winchell desires to see happen. His willingness to broadcast the above statement is just one more attempt against Christianity. It re-emphasizes Winchell's part in this worldwide conspiracy to destroy the religion on which America was founded.

But what will interest our readers particularly is that from careful investigation we find the circumstances are such that Winchell could not have obtained his information without spying. Clearly he either hired somebody to invade our offices and procure this information, or he secured it from someone who had done this little job.

Winchell's attack on Christianity and his resort to spying—to dishonestly obtaining information—naturally involves his employer, the Kaiser-Frazer Company which sponsors his broadcasts and must know of the methods for which he is notorious.

And this involvement will stand unless the Kaiser-Frazer Company publicly apologizes to the people of the United States for employing him.

Merwin K. Hart

President.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.